

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

		•				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING D	ATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/391,606	09/07/1999		ANDREW D. MURDIN	1038-971-MIS	8817	
7.	590 0	08/20/2003			•	
SIM & MCB	JRNEY	EXAMINER				
330 UNIVERSITY AVENUE 6TH FLOOR TORONTO, M5G1R7 CANADA				CHEN, SHIN LIN		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1632	22	
				DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	DATE MAILED: 08/20/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>·*</u>	<u> </u>		
	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/391,606	MURDIN ET AL.	
•	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Shin-Lin Chen	1632	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	pears on the cover sheet with the	corresp ndence address	
THE REPLY FILED 05 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apple Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this applic (1) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a	
	REPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing d b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of thi no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expir ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortr e later than SIX MONTHS from the mailir AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF T	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). T fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the periofee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the C timely-filed, may-reduce any earned-patent-term adjustment. See 37	d of extension and the corresponding amo of the shortened statutory period for reply iffice later than three months after the ma	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	· ·	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	e below);	·	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
3. \boxtimes Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejeand 11.	ection(s): 35 U.S.C. 112 second p	aragraph rejection of claims 10	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) wou canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: §	or reconsideration has been cons See Continuation Sheet.	idered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	5 :		
Claim(s) allowed: None.			
Claim(s) objected to: None.			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,2,4-7 and 9-20</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 3 and 8.	•		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	proved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		
10. Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	-	
		Shin-Lin Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1632	

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants argue that the specification is addressed to a person skilled in the art and the invention is directed to an immunogenic composition comprising two specific nucleotide sequences encoding known proteins (amendment, p. 5, 6). This is not found persuasive because of the reasons of record. (Continued).

Application/Control Number: 09/391,606

Art Unit: 1632

DETAILED ACTION

Continued from Advisory Action:

The claims do not specify a particular nucleotide sequence(s) and is given broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. The scope of the claim includes nucleotide sequences encoding a genus of numerous structural variants of the disclosed MOMP or 76 kDa protein, and the genus is highly variant because a significant number of structural differences between genus members is permitted. The claimed nucleotide sequences encompass unknown and unidentified nucleotide sequences encoding MOMP or 76 kDa protein derived from various species and strains of *Chlamydia*. The specification fails to provide the nucleotide sequences encoding those MOMP or 76 kDa proteins derived from various species and strains of *Chlamydia*. Thus, the limited information as disclosed is not sufficient to reasonably convey to one skilled in the art that applicants were in possession of the nucleotide sequences encoding MOMP or 76 kDa proteins derived from various species and strains of *Chlamydia* for the immunogenic composition as claimed.

Applicants argue that the vectors pCAMOMP and pCA76kDa are used as examples to provide protection against C. Pneumoniae lung infection in mice, and the claims are directed to an immunogenic composition and not directed to gene therapy in vivo. The use of the immunogenic composition is irrelevant (amendment, p. 6). This is not found persuasive because of the reasons of record. As discussed before, the claims are directed to an **immunogenic** composition for *in vivo* administration to a host. Although the claim are not directed to a method

Application/Control Number: 09/391,606

Art Unit: 1632

of immunization, the claimed immunogenic composition must have a use for one skilled in the art at the time of the invention. The use of the **immunogenic** composition is to stimulate immune response in a host so as to immunize the host or provide therapeutic effects against a particular disease, such as Chlamydial infection, in the host in light of the specification (see specification, p. 9 lines 7-11). Therefore, the claims read on gene therapy *in vivo* and the claimed immunogenic composition must have a use for stimulating immune response in a host so as to immunize the host or provide therapeutic effects against a particular disease, such as Chlamydial infection, in the host. Therefore, the use of the claimed immunogenic composition in vivo is relevant to the claimed invention.

Applicants argue that it is evident that the composition is used to protect against chlamydial infection but not other diseases (amendment, p. 7). This is not found persuasive because of the reasons of record. The use of the claimed immunogenic composition is not limited to protect against chlamydial infection. Since the claims encompass using various unknown and unidentified nucleotide sequences encoding MOMP or 76 kDa protein derived from various species and strains of *Chlamydia*, it is likely that a MOMP protein or a 76 kDa protein can be used to stimulate immune response in a subject to protect against disease other than chlamydial infection, for example, to inhibit cancer cell growth. Further, the specification fails to provide adequate guidance and evidence for an immunogenic composition containing nucleotide sequences encoding any MOMP and/or 76 kDa protein derived from any species or any strain of *Chlamydia* for *in vivo* administration of any host, including human, mammals, birds, reptiles, fishes etc., to protect the host against a particular disease, such as a Chlamydial infection, other than using the disclosed pCAMOMP and pCA76kDa to protect against *C*.

Art Unit: 1632

Pneumoniae lung infection. Therefore, the claims remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 first paragraph.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shin-Lin Chen whose telephone number is (703) 305-1678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 9:30 am to 6 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds can be reached on (703) 305-4051. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

Shin-Lin Chen, Ph.D.

suher